





# **Alternative Credentials**

Prior Learning 2.0

Dr. Jill Buban Sr. Director Research & Innovation Online Learning Consortium









This study was commissioned by the Distance Education Accrediting Commission (DEAC) and the President's Forum, made possible through the generous support of USA Funds. In mid-2016, this group of organizations commissioned the Online Learning Consortium's Center for Research in Digital Learning & Leadership to conduct a study on alternative credentials.

This research provided by the Online Learning Consortium Research Center for Digital Learning and Leadership. © 2017





# **Table of Contents**

Foreword	3
Background	4
Participating Institutions	5
Introduction to Case Studies	6
Definition of Terms	7
SUNY Empire State College	8
Excelsior College	11
Charter Oak State College	14
Rio Salado Community College	16
American Public University System	19
Thomas Edison State University	21
Findings	23
Recommendations	26
References	27
Appendix A: Study Design	29
11	





In today's higher education environment, the path to degree attainment frequently involves a myriad of credit alternatives including MOOCs, micro credentialing (badges), non-credit bearing certificate programs and various other opportunities. As these alternatives evolve from their experiential learning predecessors, institutions are faced with the challenge to treat them as credit-bearing learning experiences. Options include prior learning assessment such as CAEL's Learning Counts, institution-specific credit evaluation services and credit recommendation services such as the American Council on Education's (ACE) or National College Credit Recommendation Service's (NCCRS), as well as micro-credentialing such as MOOCs and badges. Also relevant is how distance education is increasingly utilized as a primary vehicle for engaging in credit-bearing learning experiences. Along with enhanced access to learning opportunities, alternative credential opportunities offer convenience, flexibility and affordability – features that are valued by adult learners and that may propel adult learners toward degree attainment. However, while these alternatives are believed to expand learning opportunities, several critical questions remain unanswered. Beyond the province of anecdotal accounts of student experiences, little is known about how institutions accept and document these learning opportunities and whether the opportunities result in meaningful progress toward degree completion.

The objective of this study is to provide a better understanding of how adult learning institutions address students who possess alternative credentials and seek to apply these experiences to a degree. The findings of this study will not only allow for a better understanding of how alternative credentials are defined and used at adult learning institutions, but will serve as a useful tool for education planners, policy makers, administrators, researchers and government leaders to help shape future ideas about how to serve adult learners in their quest for degree attainment and student success. We are very pleased to introduce this study and would like to thank Dr. Jill Buban, Senior Director of Research & Innovation at the Online Learning Consortium for providing a foundation upon which our organizations can build as we look to collaborative ways of assuring quality in these important alternative learning experiences.

Leah K. Matthews
Executive Director
Distance Education Accrediting Commission ED

Tina Goodyear Chief Operating Officer The Presidents' Forum At Excelsior College



Julie, a thirty something mother, wife, and professional returns to college after ten years. In those ten years, Julie was in the workforce, volunteered at a variety of organizations, completed some work-related trainings, and received badges for conference and professional development workshop participation. Additionally, Julie participated in a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) but never completed assessments in the course. Like most adult learners, Julie acquired her learning in a variety of ways and reenters college with transcript credit, as well as prior experiential learning. Julie hopes to use the variety of learning experiences she acquired, in addition to her transcripted credits, in a flexible degree plan so that she can earn credit for the knowledge she's acquired and earn her degree more expediently than she would if she only utilizes her college credits.

Julie is the typical adult learner: in her thirties with personal and professional obligations. She has some college credit but has been away from formal learning settings for quite some time. Julie has been learning through a variety of informal learning opportunities but isn't certain those will help her complete her degree. Julie could be a student at any of the institutions included in this study.

#### Background

There is a vast array of formal and informal learning opportunities for which students can attempt to receive credits or credential their learning, including standardized tests, volunteer experience, professional development, and certifications to name a few. In 2013, through renewed federal policy,

the Obama Administration provided \$260 million "First in World' innovation funding 'to test and evaluate promising new models of higher education (Soares, 2013). The policy agenda, Making College Affordable: A Better Agenda for the Middle Class outlines ways in which colleges might promote innovation and competition, including: three- year degree programs, flipped and/or hybrid programs, and MOOCs (Office of the Press Secretary, 2013). The agenda included specific ways in which an institution might adopt practices that reduce cost and/or quality such as awarding credit based on learning, not seat time; using technology to redesign courses; using technology for student services; and recognizing prior learning and dual enrollment. (Office of the Press Secretary, 2013). Earlier in 2013, in cooperation with The Center for Educational Measurement at Excelsior College, the Council for Adult and Experiential Learning hosted a summit that focused on assessing outcomes and competencies. The keynote at the summit, John Cavanaugh, discussed the role of PLA and MOOCs in contemporary postsecondary education. He discussed three necessary steps to increase completion rates and help achieve attainment goals: defining postsecondary credentials in terms of specific learning outcomes or competencies that are assessed, meeting students at the edge of their learning, and designing personalized learning pathways to credentials through learning gap analysis (Cavanaugh, 2013). The convening included panel presentations that addressed topics such as mass customization and the role of advising, dependence on the quality of assessment, the role of MOOCs and other low-or-nocost education options, the role of



employers, and accreditation issues. (Cavanaugh, 2013). These topics are echoed in many of the case studies presented in this study.

Dating back to the early 1970s, alternative ways of seeking and granting credit for prior learning experiences have been successfully instituted at adult friendly higher education institutions. Since 2013 and more recently, accreditors and media have put much attention on alternative credentials, and how these credentials might be used toward degree completion, or credentialed by higher education institutions. The focus of this study is extremely significant to those working in higher education, including those who work directly at higher education institutions, those who work in accrediting bodies or the governmentrelated agencies, organizations associated with higher education, the media, and, of course, all of those individuals who champion alternative ways of learning.

There are many definitions the for non-traditional, or adult learner (Cross, P.K, 1974, 1981). Typically categorized as 25 years or older, the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) states that adult learners have at least one of the following seven characteristics: have delayed enrollment into postsecondary education, attend part-time, are financially independent of parents, work full-time while enrolled, have dependents other than a spouse, are a single parent, and/or lack a standard diploma (National Center for Education Statistics, 2015).

The purpose of this study is to examine alternative credentials at adult friendly

higher education institutions in the United States. The study explores the use of alternative credentials and varies at different institutions, how and if they are evaluated for credit, and whether or not they are being utilized for credit in the degree program. In order to do this, the use of alternative credentials at adult friendly higher education institutions in the United States were explored through six case studies of adult learning institutions and an analysis of information provided on these institutions' websites. Secondary to alternative credentials, this study provides information regarding the evolution of Prior Learning Assessment (PLA).

#### **Participating Institutions**

The study was conducted at six higher education institutions in the United States that have been serving adult learners for decades; representing the grandfathers of adult learning institutions. The institutions included in the study were American Public University System (APUS), Charter Oak State College, Excelsior College, Rio Salado Community College, SUNY Empire State College, and Thomas Edison State University. As adult friendly institutions, they have offered distance learning for decades and have established prior learning assessment (PLA) programs. PLA allows for the evaluation of formal training, such as military training, corporate training, American Council on Education (ACE) credit, and transcript review, as well as informal learning such as on-the-job learning, volunteerism, self-interest activities (e.g., reading, art), and, for some institutions, alternative credentialing opportunities such as



MOOCs and coding camps. All of these types of learning are potential sources of college-level learning through the PLA process (Buban, 2013). The institutions were chosen using a convenient, yet representative, sample of institutions that represent those who have been classified as adult friendly institutions for decades. The sample includes two-year and four-year institutions who serve learners in face-to-face and online modalities. Interview participants were provided by contacts through their institutional representative to the Presidents' Forum.

How are alternative credentials defined and used at adult learning institutions in the United States?

The main research question is: How are alternative credentials defined and used at adult learning institutions in the United States? While the main goal of this study is to better understand the use of alternative credentials at adult serving higher education institutions, answers to questions about current prior learning models and student-institution communication prompts discussion about models that best serve adult learners, specifically, adult learners in online environments.

#### **Introduction to Case Studies**

The multiple case study approach was chosen to obtain in-depth information about the state of alternative credentials at six adult friendly institutions in the United States. The multiple case study approach allows for within-case analysis, cross-case analysis, and purposeful sampling (Creswell, 2007).

In other words, it allows to focus the lens on the individual institution as well as provide a broader scope with analysis across institutions.

Interviews were conducted over a onemonth period both in person and via telephone. Semi-structured interviews included the same five questions surrounding alternative credentialing in higher education with a variety of subquestions, pending the interviewee's responses. The five questions included:

- (a) How are alternative credentials reviewed and processed at these institutions?
- (b) If applied to the degree, are being evaluated as lower and/or upper level credit?
- (c) Are alternative credentials speeding the time to degree completion?
- (d) What types of alternative credentials are seen most often? and
- (e) What are the predictions for the future for the use of alternative credentials in the degree?

Analysis of the interviews was conducted in two steps. First, the individual interviews were analyzed for themes related to alternative credentialing and second, a between case studies analysis was conducted to compare and contrast interview respondents' understanding of alternative credentials and their use in higher education and at their specific institutions. From the original nineteen themes, five overarching themes emerged from the analysis. These themes include: Data, Nontraditional v. Traditional, Prior Learning Assessment (PLA), Competency-Based Education (CBE), and Alternative Credentials. The five themes are explored in each





institutional case study, as they relate to each institution and holistically in the findings.

#### **Definition of Terms**

#### Adult Friendly Institutions

Institutions founded to serve adult learners, often times with non-traditional programs that focus on the self-directed, over 25-year-old learner, such as the evaluation of experiential learning. Many of these institutions were founded in the 1970s.

## Alternative Credentials

For the purpose of this study, the definition of alternative credentials includes those personal and professional development experiences defined as MOOCs, badges, and coding or boot camps.

#### Competency-Based Education

Demonstration of the mastery of concepts and skills rather than performance measurements in classroom and online settings during fixed calendar times. While varying in design, successful programs include the following: explicit articulation of what students need to know and do upon graduation, robust and valid competencies, pace of learning varies; valid, reliable assessments; strong advising/mentoring; personal education plans' effective learning resources that are available any time; and modularization (Johnstone, 2014).





#### **SUNY Empire State College**

SUNY Empire State College has served adult learners since 1971. The average age of an Empire State College student is 35 at the undergraduate level and 40 at the graduate level. The institution has 35 locations across the state of New York as well international and online programs. Empire State College began offering distance learning courses nearly 30 years ago via correspondence courses so not only is it one of the grandfathers of adult learning institutions, it is also a founding institution of distance learning delivery. All types of college-level experiential learning are evaluated, verified, and transcribed through the college's prior learning assessment process, as discussed in an interview with Empire State College's Director of the Center for Leadership in Credentialing Learning, Dr. Nan Travers. Themes that emerged during this interview included Data, Prior Learning Assessment (PLA), Competency-Based Education, and Alternative Credentials.

Data. As with many institutions, data collection and dissemination is a challenge for the college. One challenge the Executive Director for Institutional Research and Effectiveness highlighted was the many systems the college uses to identify, track, and validate prior learning. The systems, combined with the number of functional offices that interact with student records during the degree program planning process, makes analysis of prior learning sources on a consistent basis problematic. Additionally, alternative credentials, such as MOOCs, are not always tagged as such which means the college cannot

accurately capture data around specific credentials or types of prior learning.

Prior Learning Assessment. Since its founding, the college has offered students prior learning assessment (PLA) options, which have evolved and become more robust over the past 46 years. The college's website describes the ways in which a student can gain credit for "verifiable learning, but not the experience itself (SUNY Empire State College, 2017)." Students can elect to have their knowledge of a subject area evaluated through the individualized prior learning assessment process, but they are not awarded credit simply by providing evidence of an experience; they must demonstrate that there is college-level learning contained within the experience. The college provides information to students on the process through the Individualized Prior Learning Assessment (iPLA) guide. The guide provides information on the process including sources of collegelevel learning, how one identifies college-level learning, describing and documenting knowledge, and information about working with an evaluator. For the purpose of this study, of interest is the list of sources of prior college-level learning. Learning sources include:

- Work experience;
- Licenses, certifications and other credentials;
- Continuing professional education, including some continuing education units (CEUs);
- Noncredit courses;
- Seminars and in-service training programs;



- Learning acquired through Open Educational Resources (e.g. MOOCs);
- Volunteer work in the community;
- Hobbies and recreational activities:
- Independent reading and research;
- Military training that has not be evaluated by ACE;
- Study at postsecondary/proprietary schools that may be licensed but not accredited.
- Other

These sources clearly identify alternative credentials, including certifications, other credentials, and MOOCs.

Within these learning sources, there are both externally evaluated and internally evaluated forms of prior learning. Empire State College students can apply learning that has been evaluated by external sources such as the American Council of Education (ACE) and the National College Credit Recommendation Service (NCCRS). Internally, Empire State College evaluates training and certifications that contain college-level learning so that students do not need to re-demonstrate their knowledge. The college is also a member of the newly formed Consortium for the Assessment of College Equivalencies (CACE), which provides students access to the credits from training, certifications and licenses evaluations conducted by the member institutions. Travers states, "As long as the student can provide the right documentation of the credential, we will determine how to best evaluate it".

In many ways, SUNY Empire State College is cutting-edge in this area as it seeks to stay ahead of the current state of prior learning assessment. This is exemplified by the institution's involvement in CACE, as well as the focus on bridging the divide between the workplace and higher education by working with industries that have developed high-level professional competencies and evaluating those competencies for college-level learning.

Additionally, the college continues to experiment with applications of its Global Learning Qualifications Framework, a framework for assessing learning acquired outside the learning environment that was created through funding from the Lumina Foundation. Through this work, the college discovered that there is a disconnect between what students know and how to translate what they know into academia. The college constructed prompts that allowed students to translate their prior learning, or experiences, into competencies that are aligned to the framework. This helps students take what they know and demonstrate their college-level learning.

While the institution wants to provide a robust array of externally and internally pre-evaluated sources of credit, there continues to be a focus on flexibility and individualization, a hallmark of adult learning institutions, so that students have the opportunity to document their unique learning experiences. One of the ways Empire State College allows for this uniqueness is through the portfolio process. The college has 4,000 to 5,000 students working on portfolios at any given time. Travers believes that Empire State College is the largest in the United



States and one of the top in the world, however, she does stress that the portfolio process can be very time intensive.

The vast array of possibilities for prior learning, both individualized and pre-evaluated, at the institution begs the question: must the learning be completed prior to attending the college? The answer is no: at Empire State College, learning is viewed as a continuum and, as long as the student has room in her degree plan and it fits academically, the student can continue to include external sources of learning. The combination of flexible and prescribed sources of prior learning assessments is what provides the most opportunities for a diverse student body.

What we're talking about is being able to recognize, assess, validate and credential learning that's been acquired outside the traditional classroom" and, in doing so "provide high quality education that's equitable and holds integrity in such ways that it meets the variety of our student body.

Alternative Credentials. Evidence of the college's leadership in this area is demonstrated by its participation in the Connecting Credentials Initiative, which includes the Connecting Credentials Framework. Connecting Credentials is looking to create, as Travers says, "a credentialing ecosystem" that shows how all types of credentials from microcredentials to industry to post-secondary education to the badging of college degrees are verifiable, valid

types of learning and can be compared, stacked and aligned through the common language of the framework competencies. According to the Connecting Credentials website, the Connecting Credentials: A Beta Credentials Framework, was created, "to enhance the utility of credentials, reduce costs for individuals and employers, and to create a common language through the use of competencies" (Connecting Credentials, 2017). The Framework was developed on behalf of the Lumina Foundation by experts from the Corporation for a Skilled Workforce (CSW) and the Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP). Not only is SUNY Empire State College involved in national level work surrounding credentialing, graduate level faculty are completing projects with badging and the institution has offered MOOCs for nearly a decade, most recently in partnership with Coursera.

To summarize SUNY Empire State College's position regarding alternative credentials and their use at the institution, Dr. Travers states, "What we're talking about is being able to recognize, assess, validate and credential learning that's been acquired outside the traditional classroom" and, in doing so, "provide high quality education that's equitable and holds integrity in such ways that it meets the variety of our student body."



#### **Excelsior College**

In 1971, the State of New York sanctioned Regents External Degree Program to operate as a credit aggregator, "collecting credits students eared at multiple colleges and universities to award a degree" (Riedel, 2014). In the case of Excelsior, credits included prior learning assessments for professional certifications. It continued to operate in this fashion for 27 years. In 1988, Regents College (as it became known in 1986) was granted its own charter to operate as a private, non-profit institution and was renamed Excelsior College in 2001. Over the course of the past fifteen years, the college started to require a capstone course and, more recently began offering courses. As with many adult learner institutions, the average age of an Excelsior student is in the 30-year old range, specifically 37 years old. The institution's focus on the adult learner, alternative credentials, and types of prior learning assessment available at the college were discussed with Lori Morano, Registrar, and Kat McGrath, Executive Director of the Transcript Evaluation Center. The themes that were prevalent in these interviews included Non-traditional v. Traditional, Prior Learning Assessment, CBE, Alternative Credentials, and Connection to Students.

Traditional v. Non-Traditional. The idea of being non-traditional and offering programming that fits the needs of adult learners was very evident in the interviews at Excelsior College. Non-traditional often times refers to adult learners as, in the early 1970s when many adult-centric institutions were formed, adults were non-traditional learners. Included in this population is

military students. At Excelsior, military students comprise 34% of the population. This population accounts for over 11,000 military-related documents per year to be reviewed by offices at the college for either direct transfer or prior learning assessment. While the assumption might be that military students are more likely to request course equivalency exams or other forms of prior learning, both representatives from Excelsior mention that there has been an increase in military students enrolling in online courses.

Prior Learning Assessment. Whether military or civilian, all students at Excelsior are exposed to prior learning assessment opportunities. On its website, Excelsior College provides information regarding their credit by evaluation (CBE) program, UExcel. This program is within the college's Center for Educational Measurement. It provides students with the opportunity to take competency exams in equivalent subject areas for 34 lower and upper-level courses. It also provides information on OneTranscript, a documenting system that allows students to combine transcripted credit from a variety of sources. Excelsior college offers credit for a variety of other exams including professional certification exams such as Microsoft Oracle or Cisco. Lastly, the website describes that the college offers the student to complete portfolios for their learning. As with other institutions, Excelsior offers students opportunities for both external and internal evaluation of credits.

According to Kat McGrath, the college has more than seventy policies pertaining to what they accept for prior learning credit While the college accepts



a wide variety of pre-evaluated credit, such as CLEP and DANTES, they utilize the Council on Adult and Experiential Learning's (CAEL) Learning Counts program for portfolio evaluation. Learning Counts is a portfolio assessment program that offers institutions the ability to outsource their portfolio process. They provide subject matter experts to work with students to create their portfolios and then provide the portfolio evaluation and credit recommendation to the student's home institution (Learning Counts.com). The college transitioned to Learning Counts a few years ago as the portfolio process was very time intensive for the college. While MOOCs are discussed in the alternative credentials section of this case studies, it is important to note that, while MOOCs are not formally accepted by the college, they could be included in a student portfolio through the Learning Counts program. The institution would then receive the credit recommendation, not what was specifically included in the portfolio so, at this time, the institution isn't able to track what types, if any of alternative credentials, or other types of learning, are included in the portfolio. A student who utilizes Learning Counts at Excelsior is typically non-military, driven professional who is highly educated and well-articulated without having a formal degree. The students who enroll in Learning Counts are successful. Most instances of use of the Learning Counts program are in the Bachelor of Science programs.

In addition to portfolios, a form of internally evaluated prior learning, Excelsior has a database of non-accredited programs that has been internally reviewed and approved for credit. In the same vein, there are

instances, while few in number, in which faculty teams evaluate prior learning. Additionally, through the Center for Post-Traditional Instruction, Training, and Learning (CAPITAL) program, Excelsior reviews workforce training programs, such as Pizza Hut, for credit equivalencies. CAPITAL is an evaluation service offered by Excelsior to employers and training institutions. It provides an evaluation alternative to the one offered by the American Council on Education's (ACE) credit recommendation service. Through this service, ACE recommends credit equivalencies for workforce training programs and provides students who have taken these programs transcript credit. Additionally, the list these of evaluated programs is available in the online registry for institutions (Excelsior College, 2017). Respected institutions in the area of prior learning have the ability to perform these types of workplace training evaluations as they have teams of knowledgeable people well-versed in credit equivalencies and credit recommendations.

Competency-Based Education. While well-versed in a variety of evaluations, Ms. McGrath discusses the difficulties that can ensue when the college receives a transcript from a competency-based education (CBE) program. She likens the process to having "to untie it (the transcript) to get the two things to work together." In this discussion, she refers to the college's history as a nontraditional institution with a deep understanding of how evaluation processes work and expressed concern about how a traditional institution would likely have further struggles with trying to equivocate a CBE transcript.



Alternative Credentials. In regards to MOOCs, boot camps, and badges, there is no direct transfer occurring at the college. It was noted that they evaluate more traditional credentials like Microsoft or Cisco. According to college policy, Excelsior does not award credit for MOOCs, however, as mentioned previously, if a student were to include a MOOC in a Learning Counts portfolio, credit could be awarded. Additionally, if a student successfully completed an ACE-evaluated MOOC that included evidence of formative and summative assessments, the student would be awarded credit.

Neither of the interviewees recall documented instances where boot camps or badges have been evaluated for credit. However, Excelsior has explored the use of badges as a credential and found that there was not a general understanding of these credentials and often the students appeared to be confused. Ms. McGrath has questions regarding the meaning behind the words and, in turn, students understanding of these credentials; whether they understand that they might be able to have them evaluated as sources of credit: "It's like trophies or certificates – what am I (the student) supposed to do with them?" (K.McGrath, Interview 2016). There are concerns about who validates these forms of learning. In this regard, there is the same connection, almost a vigilance stance for the non-traditional, adult learner.

In summary, Excelsior College has evolved from a credit aggregator to a college offering an array of prior learning evaluations, including the evaluation of alternative credentials. Excelsior continues to explore new

sources of prior learning, although skepticism remains about alternative credentials.



#### **Charter Oak State College**

Founded in 1973, originally Charter Oak State College was to serve the state of Connecticut as a credit aggregator to advise students how to use a combination of prior learning, assessment, portfolios, and reviews to obtain their degrees. In 2016, the college added its first Master's level degree program, further solidifying its stature as a course-bearing institution. The average student is 39 years old. The college's website clearly states its strategic initiatives, include the goal of expanding degree completion programs in order to meet workforce needs. Linda Wilder, Director of Prior Learning Assessment, provided Charter Oak expertise for this research study. Themes that emerged include Data, Nontraditional v. Traditional, Prior Learning Assessment, and Credentialing.

Data. Charter Oak is an institution that has a grasp on the data they need and what they might want in regards to student learning. They track all types of prior learning credit equivalencies, including alternative credentials, with reports that detail the types of credit students receive based on a variety of things. In addition, there is tracking in their database that matches many of these (credentials) to the specific training program.

Nontraditional vs. Traditional. In discussing the continued use of the terms traditional and non-traditional and the coinciding controversy regarding the use of these words, Linda mentions that the determining factor is age. However, as she considers this, she mentions that traditional-aged students can go through the PLA process at Charter Oak. It

brings up the notion that we should be thinking about new labels, that the lines have blurred between traditional and non-traditional. Lots more room/flexibility at Charter Oak.

Prior Learning Assessment. A professional license or credential is slightly different than an alternative credential as they would sit for an exam such as the EMT license. Students would have some documentation receiving the credential and the registrar would document that. Alternate ways to credit clearly labeled on website. Categories include Credit for Prior Learning and Credit for Testing/Exams. CPL includes credit for training, which refers to the Consortium for the Assessment of College Equivalency (CACE). "CACE facilitates degree completion of adult learners by developing new pathways of cooperation among adult-centered colleges and universities regarding reviews of college-equivalent learning from organized, structured learning experiences not sponsored by an accredited college or university, including the promotion of rigorous common standards" (Charter Oak College, 2017). The consortium of CACE institutions includes Charter Oak College, SUNY Empire State College, Thomas Edison University, Community College of Vermont, Granite State College, and Excelsior College. The mission of CACE is to "create a mechanism for the partner institutions to share information from credit recommendations they have developed for such Non-Collegiate Instruction in order to allow all partners to award the recommended credit directly based on official documentation of successful completion, without the need to transfer



the credits from the reviewing institution" (Charter Oak State College, 2017). In addition to the innovative addition of CACE, the college offers, credit for testing/exams, the Connecticut Credit Assessment Program (CCAP), credential evaluation, and a portfolio program.

The portfolio is an intensive process in which the student needs to be able to be able "to envision the benefit in order to get through the process.

In regards to internal evaluation of credit, Charter Oak continues to provide in house faculty reviews, even though they are time consuming. Likewise, they continue to conduct portfolio reviews even though they have few requests for these type of credit evaluations. The portfolio is an intensive process in which the student needs to be able to be able "to envision the benefit in order to get through the process."

In the interview with Wilder, discussion percolates regarding the datedness of the term prior learning. She explains that, while outdated, it's a buzz word that, after years, the public understands and, while it may be outdated, people understand it's meaning. While possibly an outdated term, Charter Oak views this type of learning as continual in that it is prior to when it is documented at the institution and, as Ms. Wilder states, "that's the beauty of Charter Oak."

We would have a pathway for which the student could apply the alternative credential towards to traditional credential.

Alternative Credentials. The context in which alternative credentialing is usually discussed is within the parameters of prior learning assessment. In regards to a process for equating student learning acquired through alternative credentials, it would mirror their internal assessment process: "we would have a pathway for which the student could apply the alternative credential towards to traditional credential." Wilder continues to detail the process including how the credential would be equivocated to credit in certain areas and course numbers would be designated. This means students have alternative credentials represented on their degrees. Charter Oak has a policy regarding MOOCs in their course catalog. How the credit is evaluated depends on the MOOC. The college has reviewed some of EdX's MOOCs and prescribed credit recommendations as they would do with tests and evaluations. In these preapproved MOOCs, if the student completes the EdX MOOC successfully, after indicating the proper pathway with EdX, she will receive credit as EdX will verify completion of the MOOC. Students can also submit ACE credit recommendations for those MOOCs preevaluated by ACE. Lastly, students could also choose the portfolio approach if the MOOC was not internally evaluated or externally evaluated by ACE.

It's not new at all. The terminology is new but I don't think it's new. They are alternative ways of getting credit for way they know."



In sum, at Charter Oak there is nothing different or new about alternative credentialing as it is another form of prior learning that's "not seen as different or new. It's not new at all. The terminology is new but I don't think it's new. They are alternative ways of getting credit for way they know."

#### **Rio Salado Community College**

Established in 1978 as one of seven 'colleges without walls' in the nation, Rio Salado College is a two-year community college headquartered in Tempe, Arizona. In the same year, the Rio Salado offered its first adult basic education program and distance education program while, in the following year, the college began serving military students (Rio Salado, 2017). The college was established by and continues to be a member of the Maricopa County Community College District. The website illuminates two focal areas: providing innovative educational opportunities for today's students and offering affordable access to higher education through bridge pathways, community-based learning, corporate and government partnerships, early college initiatives, online learning and university transfer (Rio Salado, 2017). There was clear evidence of these focal areas in an interview for this research study, Rick Kemp, Dean of Instruction and Themes include Nontraditional vs. Traditional, Prior Learning Assessment, Competency-Based Learning, and Credentials.

Non-traditional v. traditional. Rio Salado was founded to serve non-traditional students. This is exemplified by the flexible start dates that the college offers students. There are 48 start days

per calendar year. The college continually seeks innovative ways to serve those who have traditionally been underserved. Mr. Kemp attributes this to the culture of higher education in which institutions either 'buy-in' to serving non-traditional learners or they focus on traditional learners as it may not be in the culture of traditional institutions. Some of the ways in which Rio Salado services non-traditional learners is through its workforce partnerships and recognition of prior learning, including quality training programs in the marketplace.

Kemp discusses the many adults who swirl in college, even in the process of obtaining a two-year degree. Student swirl refers to students who go to multiple colleges while seeking a degree, as opposed to taking a straight path toward degree completion, as seen decades ago with more traditional students. (Adelman, 1980). Student swirling isn't a phenomena seen in isolation with non-traditional students, Adelman followed a national cohort of students from the time they were in 10<sup>th</sup> grade in 1980 until most students were 30 years old. At Rio Salado, an institution that serves both traditional and non-traditional aged students, Kemp notes that it's the institution's responsibility to help the students obtain the degree, as they know the student may or may not transfer all credits, especially all prior learning credits, if the student swirls to another institution.

Prior Learning Assessment. Kemp believes that prior learning helps speed a student's time to degree completion but doesn't have the internal data to support this assumption. Prior learning assessments are available for both



externally and internally reviewed sources of credit.

Rio Salado relies on ACE credit recommendations, national exams, and Straighterline. Straighterline offers online courses and has agreements will multiple institutions for transfer credit, or pre-evaluated transfer credit of their courses (Rio Salado, 2017). Rio has an agreement with Straighterline to accept roughly 30 of their courses for credit. There is an accentuated call for collaboration with the workforce and ways to serve the military, including the use of ACE recommendations. In regards to the workforce, Kemp states, "other models will fill the void if we don't do it (build these relationships)." Likewise, Kemp doesn't see how an institution can serve, or have a relationship with military students if they aren't using ACE recommendations.

Internally, the college offers a combination of evaluations. At the time of the interview, Rio Salado was piloting the use of the CAEL Learning Counts program as internal portfolio reviews conducted by faculty are time consuming. Additionally, the college has reviewed and prescribed credit towards many national certifications and licenses. They continue to review these sources of credits, however, Kemp reiterates that is a time intensive process, especially for faculty. He sees the role of the faculty as a crucial role in this process but notes that their time is very valuable. As with workforce partnerships, one gets a sense that Kemp would like to stay ahead of the curve with internal evaluations as well, but hasn't found a solution for how the college can do so.

Kemp stresses that prior learning has grown exponentially over the past decade and it can no longer be contained to one office a college; that it is a collegewide endeavor. This emphasis is one that should be understood throughout higher education, that it isn't an easy endeavor to begin offering prior learning evaluations as it is part of the college's culture and needs to be accepted and advocated for through the institution, much like competency-based education.

Competency-Based Education (CBE). Initially, in the early stages of exploration around competency-based learning, there was confusion amongst faculty and staff at Rio Salado surrounding the difference between PLA and CBE. The college is constructing plans for CBE opportunities in general education areas.

Alternative credentials are part of our niche, part of our mission. It's always been part of our fabric. It's either a part of your culture or its going to take a long time because culture doesn't change easily.

Alternative Credentials. It is clear that, as with other institutions, Rio Salado views alternative credentials as another form of prior learning that can be assessed either externally or internally: "Alternative credentials are part of our niche, part of our mission. It's always been part of our fabric." Kemp continues to explain, "It's (alternative credentials) either a part of your culture or its going to take a long time because culture





doesn't change easily." While Rio Salado doesn't promote the acceptance of alternative credentials, if a student brings evidence of MOOC, boot camp, or badge completion, the college will evaluate it using their current processes and procedures. Kemp sees the ability to do so as an integral piece of speaking the same language as the workplace and bridging the gap between higher education and industry.

In comparison to other institutions included in this study, Rio Salado is a slightly different category in regards to prior learning and alternative credentials as it is a two-year community college with much less time to degree completion for students to integrate these forms of learning.



# American Public University System (APUS)

Founded in 1991 as American Military University (AMU), the institution was reorganized into the American Public University System (APUS) and American Public University (APU) was established. AMU and APU are both under the umbrella of APUS. AMU was founded to serve military students, while APU was founded to serve civilian students in the same way, with a focus on quality, affordability, and flexibility (American Public University System, 2017). Patricia Campbell, Vice President, Assistant Provost, Graduate Studies, Research, and Innovation and Cali Morrison, Director Alternative Learning. Themes included nontraditional v. traditional, prior learning assessment, and alternative credentialing.

Nontraditional students are the majority of our students now so maybe post-traditional is the right terminology now?

Nontraditional v. Traditional. The antiquated use of these terms was a highlight of this interview, as was the discussion surrounding traditional time parameters for a degree and how the time to degree completion has shifted away from the traditional four years. In discussing the outdatedness of these terms, Cali Morrison recommended a new term, post-traditional, "Nontraditional students are the majority of our students now so maybe post-traditional is the right terminology now?" As with other institutions that participated in this study, APUS is

passionate about the need to move away from the traditional concept that higher education's primary purpose to serve the traditional 18-year-old student and, in doing so, providing opportunities for lifelong learning. The institution serves 'post-traditional learners' in a variety of ways, including opportunities to earn credit for prior learning.

Prior Learning Assessment. APUS utilizes a combination of external and internal prior learning assessments. External assessments include ACE recommendations, college-level entrance exams, CLEP, and MOOCs that have been pre-evaluated through the ACE recommendation service. Students can bring forth prior learning experiences for review throughout their time at APUS. In other words, prior learning is seen as a continuum, not as learning that took place prior to enrolling at the institution. The one caveat is that students must petition to join the APUS prior learning program. APUS initiated this program in order to better ensure the student is a right fit for the program as well as to consider the amount of room the student has in her degree program to add prior learning to the degree plan. Upon acceptance, students complete a course that defines prior learning and explains the portfolio process, which is outsourced to CAEL's Learning Counts for review. Campbell and Morrison emphasized that whatever prior learning experience students include in their degree programs, APUS' focus is on ensuring students graduate having met all institutional and program level outcomes. In all programs, including initiatives for alternative credentials, APUS maintains a strong focus on quality education opportunities.



Alternative Credentials. Albeit in the initial stages at the time the interview was conducted for this study, APUS is investigating badging and credentialing in general.

The institution offered a MOOC in conjunction with the Policy Studies Organization in which students received credit if they complete a summative assessment. APUS has not preevaluated any MOOCs for credit, however, if a student were to request an assessment of prior learning that involved MOOC-based learning, a faculty member would have to evaluate the content, determine the course and level of the course in which the learning matched, and evaluate the learning through an assessment. A similar process would be used if a student requested an evaluation of knowledge acquired in a boot camp, or coding camp. APUS isn't only interested in evaluating prior learning that occurred in alternative credentials, but also how to integrate credentials into traditional learning environments.

In 2016, APUS hired Cali Morrison to lead the vision around how new pathways towards high quality credentials could be included in the APUS curriculum. A major focus of this initiative has been around microcredentials and badges and the inclusion of these credentials in traditional curriculum so that students can demonstrate what they know to employers during their educational journey, not only once they have completed their degree. This could include stackable badges, microcredentials, or badges that show various progressions of knowledge so

that students are able to 'show as they go' through their degree.

APUS has taken a positive step in creating alternative pathways for degree completion with the launch of Momentum<sup>TM</sup>, a direct assessment competency-based education (CBE) program. In Momentum<sup>TM</sup> the focus is on demonstrated mastery, not the time or activity taken to achieve mastery. It's a faculty-guided, personalized learning using and adaptive learning platform.

In sum, whether through prior learning evaluation processes or new curricular advancements, APUS has included credentialing opportunities for students since its inception. Like other institutions in this study, these opportunities are a part of the institutional culture.





#### **Thomas Edison State University**

Founded in 1972 by the State of New Jersey, Thomas Edison State University's mission is to "provide flexible, high-quality, collegiate learning opportunities for self-directed adults" (Thomas Edison State University, 2017). The institution's website states 7 purposes that focus on quality learning opportunities that include "learning opportunities that serve as alternatives to college classroom study" including collegiate and non-collegiate collegelevel learning as well as ways to assess these types of learning opportunities.

Marc Singer, Vice Provost, Center for the Assessment of Learning, spoke to the mission of the institution in that it is an adult-focused, outcomes-focused institution that, like many of its peers, was founded in the early 1970s when there was a call to "change the influence of the ivory tower." Reverberating in current conversations around alternative credentials are some of the same questions that were asked 50 years ago: Why can knowledge only come from a university? Why is a university the only source of deciding what counts as knowledge? Prevalent themes included Prior Learning Assessment and Alternative Credentials.

Prior Learning Assessment. Thomas
Edison conducts both internal and
external assessments of prior learning.
As with other institutions in the study,
they conduct their own internal portfolio
evaluations and also use CAEL's
Learning Counts for portfolio
assessments, especially if a student only
has one or two evaluations to be
completed as it can be less expensive for

the student to take advantage of that program.

Externally, the university accepts most ACE college credit recommendations, NCCRS recommendations, and agreements through the CACE group. The CACE group reviews training programs, licenses, certifications, etc. in terms of learning outcomes, instructor credentials, and whether or not the training is the same every time it is taught or different based on the location and teacher. In other words, just as with a college course, they are ensuring the quality of the training as it relates to specified outcomes. Additionally, like SUNY Empire State College, they are involved in the Connecting Credentials in order to try to equivocate learning obtained through alternative credentials to degrees.

Data. Thomas Edison has a very thorough process for tracking the various types of learning, including alternative credentials, a student includes in prior learning assessments at the university. If a student receives credit for the knowledge, they track the exact type, including MOOCs, badges, and boot camps. This allows the university to have a better understanding as to whether or not PLA contributes towards a student completing her degree more quickly. Singer mentions that, typically, PLA does so, however, he believes that alternative credentials may aid more so in retention and persistence because the university is able to validate the learning and/or allow students to take time to engage in one of those experiences and then continue with her studies.



Why can knowledge only come from a university? Why is a university the only source of deciding what counts as knowledge?

Alternative Credentials. Alternative Credentials. The university evaluates any type of learning, including that which is acquired through badges, Open Educational Resources (OER), and boot, or coding camps. In regards to specific projects focused around these types of credentials, the university has done a lot of work with OER, and are envisioning the way badges might play a role in future curriculum design.

Akin to SUNY Empire State College, Thomas Edison categorizes MOOCs as an open educational resource (OER). During the portfolio process, it might be uncovered that a student has two-thirds of the knowledge needed to receive course credit. The institution can then refer a student to a MOOC for the missing one-third of the knowledge and then be assessed for the full knowledge. This allows institutions, and students, more flexibility, accountability, and customizability, all within the institution's prior learning assessment procedures.

In regards to microcredentials, like badging, Singer believes there will be more and more stackable or latticed options in the future. He also thinks that pre-evaluation of alternative credentials will expand and will provide the quality stamp of approval that accreditors are asking for.



#### **Findings**

Through a cross-study analysis, 5 themes emerged including Data, Competency-based Education (CBE), Prior Learning Assessment (PLA), Alternative Credentials, and Traditional v. Non-traditional. Some were more evident than others, with Prior Learning Assessment and Alternative Credentials present in all case studies.

More importantly, these institutions understand the need to demonstrate quality measures in their assessment measures.

Prior Learning Assessment (PLA) was a theme that emerged early on in all interviews. As adult-serving institutions, all had evaluation systems in place at their respective institutions for decades. In addition to the sample being one of convenience, these institutions were selected for this reason; the assumption that they would have the systems in place to evaluate alternative credentials. If they aren't doing it, how are other doing it? More importantly, these institutions understand the need to demonstrate quality measures in their assessment measures.

All institutions included in the study have processes for internal and external evaluations of credit. Internal evaluations of credit are conducted through a portfolio process that involves faculty, or subject matter experts. In three interviews, there was direct reference to the high amount of time these evaluations require, as well as the drain on faculty time and resources. For these reasons, institutions have outsourced the majority of their portfolio

review to CAEL's Learning Counts program. Launched approximately six years ago, the six institutions are taking advantage of Learning Counts as it speeds the time of the review process, alleviates faculty work load in these areas, and can be a more cost effective way for students to have their learning evaluated through a reliable program that utilizes trained subject matter experts.

In addition to Learning Counts, all institutions utilize ACE credit recommendation services and four utilize NCCRS for credit recommendations. A third type of credit recommendation service that is growing is the Consortium for College Equivalency (CACE) which includes six member institutions, of them, three are involved in this study. CACE includes an agreement between these institutions to accept pre-evaluated credit amongst its members. For example, if Charter Oak pre-evaluated a licensure, or workforce training program, the other five institutions would transcript this pre-evaluated learning. This allows for a great sharing of resources among institutions and should greatly reduce institution workload, as well as provide students with a timely review of their prior learning. Other external evaluations include CLEP evaluations, which are accepted by all institutions in this study.

Prior learning assessments are integrated into all facets of these institutions, it's in the fabric of their existence and deeply rooted in their culture. For this reason, it was nearly inconceivable, or a foreign notion, for them not to evaluate alternative credentials such as MOOCs, badges, and/or coding or boot camps.



The six institutions included in this study have various levels and ways of evaluating MOOCs. Two institutions, Charter Oak and Thomas Edison State College, have instances of preevaluating MOOCs for college credit. Surprisingly, this is not clearly evident, or featured on their websites or in marketing materials. For this reason, students can't easily identify these institutions as those that might 'accept' MOOCs as credit. All of the other institutions evaluate knowledge gained from MOOC experience and do so through an assessment process that includes some type of summative assessment linked to the learning outcomes in the given MOOC. Some will accept verified assessments from MOOC providers as evidence of learning and equate it to credit. Others, such as Thomas Edison, will conduct their own assessment in addition to the verified assessment. This is direct evidence of this group of institutions ongoing concern with quality.

APUS and Thomas Edison are strategically planning how to incorporate badges into their curriculum so that students can provide direct evidence of knowledge to employers.

While all six institutions might evaluate badges or coding camps should a student present them as a portion of their knowledge on a topic, APUS and Thomas Edison are strategically planning how to incorporate badges into their curriculum so that students can provide direct evidence of knowledge to employers. This direct connection to the workforce exemplifies the innovative practices the Obama Administration

called for in the 2013 policy, Making College Affordable: A Better Agenda for the Middle Class (Office of the Press Secretary, 2013). While all institutions are speeding the time to degree completion through the use of prior learning assessment, those integrating the use of alternative credentials such as APUS and Thomas Edison, and those involved in CACE, such as Thomas Edison, Charter Oak, and SUNY Empire State College, are truly making strides towards doing so.

With new focus on innovative practices in prior learning assessment, comes the discussion around data and availability of data. Rio Salado, APUS, Charter Oak, and Thomas Edison had access to data; so much so that there seemed to be data overload. As many institutions do in a data rich world, the questions turn to how to use the data to make decisions and what data to request in order to make those decisions, as well as who will evaluate all of the data. Often times, as the case with SUNY Empire State College, the data is housed in a variety of departments. In regards to alternative credentials, Thomas Edison and Charter Oak are able to track the exact source of credit, including badges, MOOCs, and alternative credentials. Singer at Thomas Edison was explicit in that they can only track the source if the student receives the credit, not if the learning is not equated to credit. With other institutions, such as SUNY Empire State College, the lack of specified sources can often times be lost in the data, or in the personalized discussions with students. For example, a student might mention this type of learning and include it in a portfolio, but the documentation of the credential is logged within the portfolio, or Learning



Counts process, so not easily reportable. As for an institution like Rio Salado, they've found that it is the communication to students about prior learning that has been lacking in prior years and are focusing on how to effectively communicate these opportunities to students and then track them.

This availability, or lack of availability, of reliable data leads to mostly suppositions made surrounding time to degree completion. We know that prior learning evaluation processes increase time towards degree completion and can be a cost savings for students, however, without accurate data there aren't identifiable percentages around specific types of credentials increasing time to degree completion. At this point, within the context of this study, the assumption is made that if alternative credentials are evaluated through a prior learning assessment process and, in the past, those prior learning assessment processes have sped up the time to degree completion, then alternative credentials are speeding the time to degree completion at these institutions. I say this with warning as there is a lot of grey area. In constructing a degree with a combination of transfer credit, prior learning, and courses, as Julie is trying to do, the degree is somewhat of a puzzle as it needs to be consistent to the prescribed outcomes of the given degree, or program. In other words, to ensure a quality degree, all of the pieces must fit nicely in the plan in order to use them all. Julie might have the equivalent of 30 credits in prior learning experiences but might only be able to use 15 because she has learning in areas that don't fulfill degree requirements. This is the same whether it is a First Aid/CPR

certification, Series 7 licensure, creative writing MOOC, or volunteer experience.

While not, an expected finding in the study as it was not a direct question or topic for the one-hour interviews, the discussion around non-traditional and traditional learning was evident in all six interviews. It is clear that, independent of the person's role at the given institution, there is passion about serving adult learners and their current role in the higher education landscape as contemporary learners.



#### Recommendations

This study is the mere beginning in best understanding institutional practices surrounding the evaluation and acceptance of alternative credentials at higher education institutions. It provides the foundation for knowledge about how institutions can qualify alternative credentials as forms of knowledge and apply them to, or include them in the curriculum for a quality degree program.

While the study lays the foundation for this work, additional exploration surrounding the evaluation of alternative credentials and the incorporation of such credentials in a degree program must be completed at a variety of types of institutions. This study includes two-year and four-year institutions that have concrete policies and procedures surrounding prior learning assessment and how to review such credentials for equivalent learning outcomes. Further research would include a wider variety of institutions.

In tandem with expanding this study, or a similar study, to include a wider variety of institutions, this group of six institutions could serve the entire higher education community by documenting best practices for quality evaluation of alternative credentials. This type of documentation could include a knowledgebase of terms, as well as policies and procedures and lessons learned that would be beneficial for other institutions to know prior to engaging in such evaluations. Some of this work has started with the institutions in the Consortium for the Assessment of College Equivalence (CACE, 2015).

As mentioned in the Thomas Edison State University Case Study, there were similar questions fifty years ago. The answers to those questions, and the innovative practices surrounding prior learning assessment serve as a model for creating and expanding quality frameworks surrounding the use of alternative credentials in higher education settings.



#### References

Adelman, C. (1999). Answers in the Tool Box: Academic intensity, attendance patterns, and bachelor's degree attainment. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

Buban, J. (2013). Adult Undergraduate Students' Experiences in Online Academic Mentoring Relationships (Doctoral Dissertation, Lesley University). Retrieved from http://gradworks.umi.com/36/66/3666523.html

Cavanaugh, J. (2013). The Coming Personalization of Postsecondary Education Competencies. CAEL Forum and News: Competency-Based Education, 2-5.

Charter Oak State College (2016). Charteroak.edu. Retrieved 14 November 2016 from http://www.charteroak.edu.

Consortium for the Assessment of College Equivalence (2015). Standards for the Assessment of Non-Collegiate Instruction. Consortium for the Assessment of College Equivalence. Retrieved 16 December 2016, from http://ecwp.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/15/2016/06/CACE\_Standards\_Adopted\_7-21-15.pdf.

Credential Transparency Initiative. (2016). credentialtransparencyinitiative.org. Retrieved 14 November 2016, from http://www.credentialtransparencyinitiative.org.

Creswell, J.W. (2008). Educational Research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.

Connecting Credentials: A beta credentials framework. (2016). connectingcredentials.org/framework. Retrieved 14 November 2017, from Retrieved 14 November 2016, from http://connectingcredentials.org/framework/.

Cross, K. P. (1981). Adults as Learners: Increasing Participation and Facilitating Learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Cross, K. P., Valley, J. R., and Associates (1974). Planning Non-Traditional Programs. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Excelsior College (2016). Excelsior.edu. Retrieved 14 November 2016 from http://www.excelsior.edu.

Johnstone & Soares (2014). Principles for developing competency-based education programs. Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 46(2), 12-19.

Office of the Press Secretary. (2013). FACT SHEET on the President's Plan to Make College More Affordable: A Better Bargain for the Middle Class. Retrieved



from <a href="https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2013/08/22/fact-sheet-president-s-plan-make-college-more-affordable-better-bargain-">https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2013/08/22/fact-sheet-president-s-plan-make-college-more-affordable-better-bargain-</a>

Rio Salado College (2016). Riosalado.edu. Retrieved 14 November 2016 from http://www.riosalado.edu.

Soares, L. (2013). Creating an Environment for Learning Technologies: Toward a generative model of state policy and institutional practice. Educause Review, 70-87.

SUNY Empire State College (2016). Esc.edu. Retrieved 14 November 2016 from http://www.esc.edu.

Thomas Edison State University (2016). Tesu.edu. Retrieved 16 January 2017 from http://www.tesu.edu.

U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (2015). Demographic and Enrollment Characteristics of Nontraditional Undergraduates: 2011–12 (NCES Publication No. 2015-025).





## **Appendix A: Study Design**

Given a brief timeframe to conduct the study, the six institutions selected for this study were a convenience sample as members of the Presidents' Forum were able to provide the researchers with willing participants from their institutions. Sixty minute interviews consisting of semi-structured questions were conducted either in person or via telephone in as open and honest an environment as possible. Interviewees approved the recording of the interviews and interviews were recorded using an Apple application that was tested previously. To analyze the interviews, the researcher transcribed the recorded interviews and then hand coded the transcriptions by themes. Interviewees were asked to review a summary of the interview analysis. Document analysis was conducted using information on the institutions' websites. All transcripts are kept password-protected on the researcher's computer and any printed copies are kept in her home office.