Center for American Progress

Closed Doors: Black and Latino Students Are Excluded from Top Public Universities

By Elizabeth Baylor October 2016

In the fall of 2014, 297,000 African American and Latino students enrolled in America's top public research universities.¹ While access to such elite educations will likely put these individuals on a path for lifelong success, a new analysis of federal data from the Center for American Progress shows that if these students were proportionately represented, another 193,000 students of color would have received the same opportunity.² Instead, in a pattern that repeats itself in nearly every state, the doors to America's top public colleges remain firmly closed to the vast majority of black and Latino undergraduate students.³ As a result, in nearly every state, these students are significantly over-represented at less-selective public four-year colleges, as well as at community colleges, compared with their white and Asian peers.

Disparities in college enrollment matter, as the type of school a student attends plays a substantial role in their likelihood of successful completion. The most elite public colleges conduct high levels of academic research, have selective admissions, and produce strong outcomes. At these colleges, the average graduation rate is nearly double those at less-selective public colleges.⁴ Meanwhile, students who attend public four-year colleges are more likely to graduate than those who attend community colleges.⁵

Differences in institutional completion rates translate into massive national gaps in college attainment. Just 21 percent of black young adults and 16 percent of Latino young adults have bachelor's degrees, far below the 43 percent level of white young adults and the 63 percent level of Asian young adults.⁶ In other words, when blacks and Latinos are excluded from top colleges, the U.S. higher education system cannot serve as an engine for social mobility.

While student enrollment is a complex issue driven by state, institutional, and individual decisions, making sure students attend colleges that set them up for success is one way to address these gaps. This means providing prospective students with college counseling in high school or through a variety of national, state, and community-based college access organizations to direct them to schools that match their academic potential.

It also means reducing financial barriers that may cause students to not enroll. This includes making sure that students know about and apply for financial aid and—most importantly—that public investments provide a greater guarantee of affordability. Once students are enrolled, it then means focusing on their completion through academic and student support programs.

The following analysis looks at enrollment in three types of public colleges in the fall of 2014: top research universities, other four-year colleges, and community colleges.⁷ Specifically, it looks at the enrollment distribution of students by race to get a sense of what types of public colleges certain students are likely to attend. In other words, for example, this issue brief looks at what types of colleges Latino students enroll in—not what share of students within a given college are Latino. This analysis also details the types of colleges students attend when they are not enrolled at a top research university and describes the enrollment patterns in states where black and Latino students are least likely to attend top public research universities.

National undergraduate enrollment by race at public colleges

The United States is home to a vast public higher education system that educates threequarters of American college students.⁸ Within this system are three broad types of colleges, as stated above. Top research universities represent the most prestigious options. The majority of these schools have very selective admissions standards, and they only enrolled 18 percent of undergraduate students in the fall of 2014.⁹ (see Table 1) Other four-year colleges educated 38 percent of public college students in the fall of 2014 and include a range of selective and less-selective colleges.¹⁰ Some of these conduct academic research, while others focus on student instruction. Community colleges are a more affordable, open-access option for many students and enrolled 45 percent of undergraduate public college students in 2014.¹¹ They also offer career-oriented certificates or associate degrees that lead to the workforce or future bachelor's degree study.

However, the share of students attending top research universities varies considerably by race. Of the 10 million full-time undergraduate students that enrolled in public colleges in the fall of 2014, 2.8 million were black or Latino. Yet these students are less likely to attend America's most elite public universities than other students. As shown in Table 1, just 9 percent of black students and 8 percent of American Indian or Alaska Native students attended these institutions—the lowest share of students from any background. Latino students followed with 12 percent enrollment.¹²

TABLE 1 Undergraduate enrollment in public colleges

Students	Share at top public research universities	Share at four-year regional colleges	Share at community and technical colleges
A 11 ¥	18%	38%	45%
All*	1,695,586	regional colleges	4,295,257
American Indian	8%	31%	61%
and Alaska Native	6,327	24,090	46,674
A	31%	32%	37%
Asian	187,419	regional colleges 38% 3,651,816 31% 24,090 32% 192,788 40% 465,167 32% 513,750 33% 7,847 40%	224,883
Dia di	9%	40%	51%
Black	104,257	regional colleges 38% 3,651,816 31% 24,090 32% 192,788 40% 32% 513,750 33% 7,847 40%	603,740
1	12%	32%	56%
Latino	192,928	513,750	908,560
De sife a la la mala m	14%	33%	54%
Pacific Islander	3,290	7,847	12,829
	19%	40%	41%
White	988,160	31% 24,090 32% 192,788 40% 465,167 32% 513,750 33% 7,847 40%	2,127,819

Share of students by race and ethnicity and type of institution, 2014

* Includes students who identify as two or more races, nonresident students, and race and ethnicity unknown.

Note: Figures may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

In contrast, some demographic groups are well represented at top research universities. In 2014, 31 percent of Asian students attended these schools, the highest share of any race or ethnicity. For illustration, there were almost identical numbers of Latino and Asian students enrolled in the nation's top public colleges that fall—about 190,000 even though 1 million more Latino students attended public colleges as a whole. Among 5 million white students, 19 percent enrolled at top research universities.¹³

The proportional underenrollment of black and Latino students at top public colleges translates into hundreds of thousands of students who do not end up at one of these schools. If 18 percent of black and Latino students enrolled at top research universities—the same share as students of all races and ethnicities—an estimated 193,134 more black and Latino students would have attended this type of institution in the fall of 2014. (see Table 2)

TABLE 2 Nearly 200,000 black and Latino students are not enrolled at top research universities

Race and ethnicity	Number of black and Latino students enrolled in top research universities	Number of additional students enrolled if black and Latino students were proportionally represented
Black	104,257	102,035
Latino	192,928	91,099
Total	297,185	193,134

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

Black and Latino students who do not enroll in top public colleges show different matriculation patterns than white students. More than half of Latino students attending public colleges—56 percent—attended open enrollment community colleges in 2014, and about one-third attended other four-year institutions. (see Table 1) Among black students, 51 percent of students enrolled in public colleges attended community colleges, while 40 percent attended other four-year colleges. In contrast, just 41 percent of white students enrolled in public colleges, while 40 percent attended other four-year colleges.

In addition to the national picture, these stark differences in higher education enrollment play out when examined state by state.

State enrollment by race and ethnicity at public colleges

Not all states have the same types of public institutions of higher education. In 2014, 40 states had universities that conducted research at the highest level.¹⁴ Some states have robust higher education systems, which include a variety of community college and four-year options. At the same time, some smaller and more rural states do not have large community college systems, thereby directing more students to the four-year level.

Even recognizing the variety of institutional options, in 39 of the 40 states with at least one elite public research university in 2014, black students faced gaps at these schools. Latino students, meanwhile, faced gaps at these institutions in 26 states. On the other hand, Asian students were more likely than all other student racial or ethnic demographics to attend top public research institutions in 39 states.

The inverse is true at community colleges. Black students were overrepresented at community colleges in 44 states, while Latino students were overrepresented in 39 states; white students were overrepresented in 7 states and Asian students in just 5 states. This is not to say that going to a community college is inherently problematic. Many are quite good and provide affordable job training. By 2020, an estimated 30 percent of jobs in the economy will require an associate degree or some college education.¹⁵ Additionally, individuals with associate degrees earn more than people with just a high school diploma, but these individuals also earn less on average than those with bachelor's degrees.¹⁶

States where black students are least likely to enroll in top colleges

Some states particularly stand out because a small share of black students attend elite public colleges relative to all students in the public college system in the state. In these states, just 4 percent to 6 percent of black students enroll at top public colleges, and there are notable gaps between these underrepresented students and all students enrolled in top public colleges. The states with the smallest shares of black students who attended top research universities in the public college system are also states with large black communities, three of which are in the South. (see Table 3)

TABLE 3 States where the share of black students attending very high research colleges is lowest

State	Black students	All students	Share point difference
North Carolina	4%	13%	-9%
Tennessee	5%	13%	-8%
Massachusetts	5%	14%	-9%
Arkansas	5%	19%	-14%
Texas	6%	13%	-8%

Note: Figures may not add to total due to rounding.

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

Some states may end up with small shares of black students in their elite public colleges due to other educational options. In North Carolina, for instance, just 4 percent of black college students enrolled in one of the state's two top research universities, the lowest level of any state. However, this may reflect that North Carolina has other educational opportunities that are not available in other states. While North Carolina has a low share of black students at top research colleges, 27 percent of black undergraduate students in the North Carolina public college system enrolled in one of the state's five public historically black colleges and universities, or HBCUs, in 2014. These colleges were established before 1964 with the principal mission of educating black people and are uniquely situated to serve black students.¹⁷

In Arkansas, Tennessee, and Texas, the share of black students attending top research universities was significantly lower than the share of all students attending these institutions. Each of these states is home to at least one public HBCU, but the public HBCUs in these states enroll a smaller share of black students than they do in North Carolina. Still, there are differences in what types of institutions black students attend instead of top research universities. In Arkansas and Tennessee, the majority of black students are enrolled in four-year colleges. In Texas, more black students attend community colleges than other four-year colleges.

States where Latino students are least likely to enroll in top public colleges

Similar to black students, there are states where the share of Latino students enrolled in top colleges lags behind. Notably, it includes populous states, including Texas and California, which have large Latino communities.

Only 5 percent of Latinos in the New York public college system were enrolled in top public colleges in 2014, while the share of all students enrolled was 9 percent. This low share may be a result of geography. Much of the state's Latino population lives in or near New York City, where there is just one top public research university—the City University of New York's Graduate School and University Center. While 24 percent of its undergraduate students in the fall of 2014 were Latino, the university itself is significantly smaller than other top research universities in New York and primarily enrolls graduate students. Similarly, in New Jersey, Rutgers University–New Brunswick—the state's top research university—is not located near Latino population centers.

In Massachusetts, just 6 percent of Latino students in public colleges were enrolled in top public universities. (see Table 4) Similar to black students, the majority of Latino students in Massachusetts—60 percent—attend community colleges.

TABLE 4 States where the share of Latino students attending very high research colleges is lowest

State	Latino students	All students	Share point difference
New York	5%	9%	-5%
Massachusetts	6%	14%	-9%
California	8%	14%	-6%
New Jersey	9%	14%	-5%
Texas	9%	13%	-4%

Note: Figures may not add to total due to rounding.

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

Meanwhile, in California, 8 percent of Latino students in public colleges attended top research universities, compared with nearly 14 percent of students overall. Instead, twothirds of Latino students attended community colleges. This high level is partly due to the structure of the California public college system, where 59 percent of all students enrolled in community colleges.

In Texas, 9 percent of Latino students attended top public universities, compared with 13 percent of all students enrolled. Because the Texas public college system is large, this gap translates to a difference of more than 13,000 students.

While some of these states tend to do poorly in terms of Latino student enrollment at top colleges, they do fare quite well in terms of Latino student college enrollment overall. In California, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and New York, Latino students are more likely to be enrolled in college than Latino students nationally.¹⁸

Complex factors drive student enrollment patterns

It is not clear exactly why some states may do a better job enrolling black and Latino students than other states. This may be because of different factors that affect student enrollment. Students make educational choices based on academics and affordability but also as a result of individual and family goals and geography. Institutions also make admissions decisions that dictate which students can attend a certain school.

A school's finances can also affect college admission. State disinvestment in public colleges may create financial pressures to recruit out-of-state students who can pay a higher tuition price tag. This pattern could take spots away from lower-income students of color. For example, a recent report by the California state auditor found that the University of California system had increased the number of out-of-state students, who typically pay higher tuition levels, and that this practice hampered efforts to increase diversity because a relatively small share of out-of-state students were underrepresented minority students.¹⁹ The report recommended the system place more emphasis on recruiting California residents, particularly minority and underrepresented students.²⁰

Still, policymakers, institutional leaders, and communities need to work together to make sure more underrepresented minority students—particularly black and Latino students—attend top public colleges with strong academic outcomes. Students need effective college counseling before they enroll so that they can make informed choices that match their academic preparation and personal goals. They need financial support to make college affordable and financial counseling to help them take advantage of available aid. Finally, once on campus, they need access to support services that can help them progress if they fall behind.

Conclusion

When students of color enroll in college, they are making an investment in themselves and their future. They know education beyond high school sets them up for a more secure life because college graduates are more likely to earn higher wages and face lower unemployment levels and are less likely to default on student loans.²¹

Still, where students go to college matters because the returns from higher education are not equal. In particular, top public colleges tend to promote more certain academic success and provide a wider array of post-graduate options.

This analysis shows that black and Latino students are disproportionally less able to access the schools with the best returns, which limits their ability to get the most out of college. And if the nation ignores questions about where people go to college—and focuses solely on the fact that they enroll—it will fail to address inequities in the system. Instead, America needs to make sure that underrepresented minority students have access to top public colleges so that the nation's higher education system does not continue to exacerbate existing inequities.

Elizabeth Baylor is the Director of Postsecondary Education at the Center for American Progress.

Appendix: Student enrollment at America's public colleges

This issue brief uses data reported by colleges to the U.S. Department of Education's Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, or IPEDS, to measure enrollment patterns of students by race and ethnicity at public colleges in all 50 states. Data from the District of Columbia are not included because it has only one public institution that serves all students.

The analysis organizes public colleges into three broad categories: top research universities, other four-year colleges, and community colleges. CAP grouped colleges using the 2010 Carnegie classification, a framework created by the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching to categorize similar institutions based on their academic offerings, as reported to IPEDS. This classification identifies 73 institutions in 40 states as very high research; 520 as four-year colleges where most students pursue a bachelor's degree; and 1,375 colleges where students earn short-term credentials or associate degrees. In addition to all community and technical colleges, the last group includes 105 colleges that offer bachelor's degree programs but where the majority of students are enrolled in associate degree or certificate programs. The vast majority of these primarily associate degree-enrolled colleges are open admission, meaning they accept essentially all students who apply.

This analysis uses a measure of enrollment known as full-time equivalent. Full-time equivalent enrollment counts all full-time students and the number of part-time students that translate to full-time enrollment. IPEDS reports full-time equivalent enrollment for all students and provides a formula to calculate these figures by race and ethnicity. For example, CAP multiplied the number of part-time students enrolled at a public four-year college by 0.4 to arrive at the full-time equivalent enrollment.²²

CAP used full-time equivalent enrollment because it accounts for the substantial numbers of students who enroll in colleges part time without giving them as much weight as a full-time student. For example, about 62 percent of students at community colleges attend part-time compared with 27 percent of students at public four-year colleges. As a result, this methodology avoids making the share of students attending very high research universities appear overly small by counting all part-time students at community colleges.

Finally, this analysis uses fall enrollment instead of 12-month enrollment to offer the most accurate comparison across colleges. Most top research universities operate on a fall enrollment cycle, meaning that the vast majority of students who attend during a given school year are enrolled in the fall. Other colleges add students throughout the year. Using fall enrollment allowed CAP to look at students who enrolled in college in the fall to see what share attended a top research university.

NAskaN/A97%3%Arizona31%20%49%Arizona19%45%35%California14%27%59%Colorado27%42%31%Connecticut23%38%39%Connecticut23%12%27%Delaware60%12%27%Gorgia25%44%34%-avaii35%13%51%dahoN/A71%29%daha29%43%28%owa42%8%49%colisiana15%43%42%colisiana16%52%32%MaireN/A66%34%Maryand14%44%42%Wichigan24%40%66%Wississipi13%37%50%Wississipi15%47%38%VeradaN/A66%34%VeradaN/A66%34%Verada14%44%42%VeradaN/A50%16%VeradaN/A57%38%VeradaN/A57%33%Verada14%40%46%Verada14%40%46%Verada14%40%46%Verada14%40%46%Verada14%40%46%Verada14%40%46%Verada14%40%46%Verada14%<	State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Arizona31%20%49%Arkansas19%45%35%California14%27%49%Colorado27%42%31%Connecticut23%38%39%Delaware60%12%27%Florida26%19%56%Gorogia22%44%34%dahoN/A71%29%dahoN/A71%29%daho15%26%59%ndiana29%43%28%owa42%8%49%Karsas15%43%42%couisiana16%52%32%MaineN/A66%34%Maryaland14%44%42%Winnesota13%37%45%Wissispipi13%37%50%NevadaN/A57%43%NevadaN/A57%43%NevadaN/A57%43%Nev HampshireN/A73%27%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%Nev Horko29%25%53%<	Alabama	9%	59%	32%
Arkansas 19% 45% 35% California 14% 27% 59% Conado 27% 42% 31% Connecticut 23% 38% 39% Delavare 60% 12% 27% Florida 26% 19% 56% Gorgia 22% 44% 34% Garogia 25% 44% 34% daho N/A 71% 29% daha 15% 26% 59% ndiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% cousiana 15% 43% 28% cousiana 15% 43% 42% daine N/A 66% 34% Marged 14% 44% 42% Marged 14% 44% 42% Marged 14% 45% 31% Marged 14% 40% 36% <td< td=""><td>Alaska</td><td>N/A</td><td>97%</td><td>3%</td></td<>	Alaska	N/A	97%	3%
California14%27%59%Colorado27%42%31%Connecticut23%38%39%Delaware60%12%27%Florida26%19%56%Seorgia22%44%34%Havaii35%13%51%dahoN/A71%29%dahoN/A71%29%indiana29%43%28%owa42%8%49%cansas15%33%37%cansas15%32%32%inaina16%52%32%waineN/A66%34%MaineN/A66%34%Maine14%45%41%Minesota15%37%35%Vilsissippi13%37%50%Vissispipi13%37%35%VeadaN/A50%16%VeadaN/A57%43%VeadaN/A57%43%Vew Vork9%42%45%Ver Vork9%42%45%Vorth Dakoa35%45%35%Vorth Dakoa35%45%35%Vorth Dakoa35%45%35%Vorth Dakoa35%45%25%Ono13%45%25%25%Vorth Dakoa35%45%25%Ono13%45%25%25%	Arizona	31%	20%	49%
Conocdicut27%42%31%Connecticut23%38%39%Delaware60%12%27%Seorgia26%19%56%Georgia22%44%34%-lawaii35%13%51%dahoN/A71%29%Illinois15%26%59%ndiana29%43%28%owa42%8%49%cansas15%23%32%cansas15%39%37%cansas16%52%32%uousiana16%52%32%MaineN/A66%34%Valand14%45%41%Wasachusetts14%45%45%Windigan15%37%35%Vissispipi15%37%35%Vada7%36%36%VeradaN/A50%16%VeradaN/A57%43%VeradaN/A57%43%Ver Vork9%42%45%Ver Vork9%42%45%Ver Vork9%42%45%Vort Dakota13%45%45%Vort Dakota13%45%45%Vort Dakota13%45%25%Vort Dakota13%45%25%Vort Dakota13%45%25%Vort Dakota13%45%25%Vort Dakota13%45%25%	Arkansas	19%	45%	35%
Connecticut23%38%39%Delaware60%12%27%Florida26%19%56%Seorgia22%44%34%Seorgia22%44%34%dahoN/A71%29%dahoN/A71%29%Ilinois15%26%59%ndiana29%43%42%owa42%8%49%Kansas15%43%42%couisiana16%52%32%uoisiana16%52%32%MaineN/A66%34%Valpland14%44%42%Waise14%45%41%Visouri15%37%50%Visouri15%37%50%Visouri15%47%38%VevadaN/A57%43%VevadaN/A57%43%Vev HampshireN/A73%27%Vew Vork9%42%49%Vorth Carolina13%41%46%Vorth Carolina13%41%46%Vorth Dakota33%45%29%Dio19%42%39%	California	14%	27%	59%
Delaware 60% 12% 27% Florida 26% 19% 56% Seorgia 22% 44% 34% seorgia 22% 44% 34% Hawaii 35% 13% 51% daho N/A 71% 29% Illinois 15% 26% 59% ndiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% cansas 15% 43% 28% cousiana 16% 52% 32% ousiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maine N/A 66% 34% Missachusetts 14% 42% 36% Minesota 18% 37% 45% Mississippi 13% 75% 38% N/A 57% 33% 37% New Jarsey 14% 40% 46%	Colorado	27%	42%	31%
Florida 26% 19% 56% Seorgia 22% 44% 34% Hawaii 35% 13% 51% daho N/A 71% 29% Ilinois 15% 26% 59% ndiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Louisiana 16% 52% 32% Louisiana 16% 52% 32% Valne N/A 66% 34% Waryland 14% 44% 42% Wasachusetts 14% 45% 41% Michigan 24% 40% 36% Wissouri 15% 47% 38% Wortana 34% 50% 16% N/A 57% 43% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% <	Connecticut	23%	38%	39%
Seorgia 22% 44% 34% Hawaii 35% 13% 51% daho N/A 71% 29% Ilinois 15% 26% 59% Ilinois 15% 26% 59% ndiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% Kansas 15% 43% 42% kansas 15% 39% 37% couisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Waryland 14% 44% 42% Masachusetts 14% 45% 41% Minesota 18% 37% 36% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 44% 50% 16% Neada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Werko 2% 2% 5%	Delaware	60%	12%	27%
Jawaii 35% 13% 51% Jawaii 35% 13% 51% Jaho N/A 71% 29% Ilinois 15% 26% 59% Indiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kansas 15% 39% 37% Couisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Mainesota 18% 37% 45% Minesota 18% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Wontana 34% 50% 16% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Mexico 22% 25% 53%	Florida	26%	19%	56%
N/A 71% 29% Ilinois 15% 26% 59% Indiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% owa 42% 8% 49% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kansas 15% 39% 37% couisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Missachusetts 14% 45% 41% Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Nontana 34% 50% 16% New Jarsey 14% 40% 45% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Marco 22% 53% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North	Georgia	22%	44%	34%
Ilinois 15% 26% 59% ndiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kansas 15% 39% 37% Kansas 16% 52% 32% Kansa 16% 52% 32% Vaine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Vilnigan 24% 40% 36% Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Notana 34% 50% 16% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% <	Hawaii	35%	13%	51%
ndiana 29% 43% 28% owa 42% 8% 49% kansas 15% 43% 42% kansas 15% 43% 42% kansas 15% 43% 42% kansas 15% 39% 37% couisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Minesota 18% 37% 50% Minesota 18% 37% 45% Mississippi 13% 37% 38% Notana 34% 50% 16% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Mexico 22% 25% 33% New Mexico 22% 25% 33% North Carolina 13% 41% 46%	Idaho	N/A	71%	29%
owa 42% 8% 49% Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kansas 15% 39% 37% Louisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Vichigan 24% 40% 36% Visissisppi 13% 37% 45% Visissisppi 13% 37% 45% Visissisppi 13% 37% 38% Verada N/A 50% 16% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Hono 19% 42% 39%	Illinois	15%	26%	59%
Kansas 15% 43% 42% Kentucky 25% 39% 37% Louisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Michigan 24% 40% 36% Winnesota 18% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Veotraka 29% 34% 37% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Carolina 13% 45% 22% North Carolina 13% 45% 22% North Carolina 13% 4	Indiana	29%	43%	28%
Kentucky 25% 39% 37% Louisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Michigan 24% 40% 36% Minnesota 18% 37% 45% Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Nontana 34% 50% 16% New Jarsey 14% 40% 45% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dio 19% 42% 39%	lowa	42%	8%	49%
Louisiana 16% 52% 32% Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 44% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Michigan 24% 40% 36% Minnesota 18% 37% 45% Mississispipi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 34% 50% 16% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Carolina 13% 41% 22% North Carolina 13% 45% 22% Montana 33% 45% 22% New Mexico 22%	Kansas	15%	43%	42%
Maine N/A 66% 34% Maryland 14% 42% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Michigan 24% 40% 36% Minnesota 18% 37% 45% Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% New Hampshire N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Kentucky	25%	39%	37%
Maryland 14% 44% 42% Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Michigan 24% 40% 36% Minnesota 18% 37% 45% Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% New Ada N/A 57% 43% New Ada N/A 57% 43% New Ada 14% 40% 46% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Louisiana	16%	52%	32%
Massachusetts 14% 45% 41% Michigan 24% 40% 36% Minnesota 18% 37% 45% Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Maine	N/A	66%	34%
Wichigan 24% 40% 36% Winnesota 18% 37% 45% Wississippi 13% 37% 50% Wissouri 15% 47% 38% Wontana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	Maryland	14%	44%	42%
Vinnesota 18% 37% 45% Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	Massachusetts	14%	45%	41%
Mississippi 13% 37% 50% Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Michigan	24%	40%	36%
Missouri 15% 47% 38% Montana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Minnesota	18%	37%	45%
Montana 34% 50% 16% Nebraska 29% 34% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Mississippi	13%	37%	50%
Nebraska 29% 34% 37% Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Missouri	15%	47%	38%
Nevada N/A 57% 43% New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22%	Montana	34%	50%	16%
New Hampshire N/A 73% 27% New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	Nebraska	29%	34%	37%
New Jersey 14% 40% 46% New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	Nevada	N/A	57%	43%
New Mexico 22% 25% 53% New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	New Hampshire	N/A	73%	27%
New York 9% 42% 49% North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	New Jersey	14%	40%	46%
North Carolina 13% 41% 46% North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	New Mexico	22%	25%	53%
North Dakota 33% 45% 22% Dhio 19% 42% 39%	New York	9%	42%	49%
Dhio 19% 42% 39%	North Carolina	13%	41%	46%
	North Dakota	33%	45%	22%
Dklahoma 14% 46% 40%	Ohio	19%	42%	39%
	Oklahoma	14%	46%	40%

TABLE A1 Share of all undergraduate students at public institutions

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Oregon	30%	25%	45%
Pennsylvania	19%	51%	29%
Rhode Island	N/A	67%	33%
South Carolina	16%	42%	42%
South Dakota	N/A	80%	20%
Tennessee	13%	49%	38%
Texas	13%	38%	48%
Utah	17%	56%	27%
Vermont	N/A	79%	21%
Virginia	23%	37%	40%
Washington	23%	20%	57%
West Virginia	N/A	74%	26%
Wisconsin	15%	51%	34%
Wyoming	N/A	41%	59%
All states	18%	38%	45%

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

TABLE A2 Share of American Indian and Native American undergraduate students at public institutions

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Alabama	8%	49%	44%
Alaska	N/A	89%	11%
Arizona	12%	15%	73%
Arkansas	28%	42%	29%
California	5%	21%	74%
Colorado	9%	63%	28%
Connecticut	14%	38%	48%
Delaware	23%	21%	57%
Florida	21%	13%	66%
Georgia	9%	44%	46%
Hawaii	25%	23%	52%
Idaho	N/A	58%	42%
Illinois	5%	22%	74%
Indiana	15%	38%	47%

.....

.

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
lowa	19%	3%	78%
Kansas	5%	18%	77%
Kentucky	14%	41%	45%
Louisiana	7%	54%	39%
Maine	N/A	61%	39%
Maryland	6%	40%	54%
Massachusetts	7%	40%	53%
Michigan	7%	37%	56%
Minnesota	5%	28%	67%
Mississippi	15%	24%	61%
Missouri	5%	49%	45%
Montana	7%	32%	61%
Nebraska	9%	28%	63%
Nevada	N/A	35%	65%
New Hampshire	N/A	62%	38%
New Jersey	4%	27%	70%
New Mexico	11%	10%	79%
New York	5%	33%	63%
North Carolina	5%	35%	60%
North Dakota	10%	26%	64%
Ohio	9%	31%	60%
Oklahoma	6%	41%	52%
Oregon	14%	30%	56%
Pennsylvania	7%	39%	53%
Rhode Island	N/A	52%	48%
South Carolina	11%	31%	57%
South Dakota	N/A	23%	77%
Tennessee	10%	44%	46%
Texas	7%	33%	59%
Utah	8%	60%	32%
Vermont	N/A	66%	34%
Virginia	16%	31%	53%
Washington	11%	15%	74%
West Virginia	N/A	67%	33%
Wisconsin	5%	34%	61%
Wyoming	N/A	17%	83%
All states	8%	31%	61%

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

TABLE A3 Share of Asian undergraduate students at public institutions

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Alabama	27%	49%	24%
Alaska	N/A	98%	2%
Arizona	49%	15%	36%
Arkansas	30%	48%	22%
California	27%	28%	45%
Colorado	32%	43%	25%
Connecticut	43%	29%	28%
Delaware	71%	3%	26%
Florida	42%	17%	41%
Georgia	55%	27%	18%
Hawaii	41%	10%	49%
Idaho	N/A	73%	27%
Illinois	44%	12%	44%
Indiana	53%	31%	16%
lowa	57%	3%	40%
Kansas	24%	36%	40%
Kentucky	51%	23%	25%
Louisiana	30%	47%	23%
Maine	N/A	64%	36%
Maryland	32%	35%	34%
Massachusetts	22%	43%	36%
Michigan	55%	23%	23%
Minnesota	28%	24%	47%
Mississippi	16%	42%	42%
Missouri	16%	50%	33%
Montana	30%	53%	17%
Nebraska	32%	30%	38%
Nevada	N/A	68%	32%
New Hampshire	N/A	68%	32%
New Jersey	39%	36%	25%
New Mexico	43%	18%	39%
New York	15%	56%	29%
North Carolina	33%	36%	31%
North Dakota	40%	48%	12%
Ohio	42%	32%	26%
Oklahoma	33%	32%	35%

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Oregon	38%	28%	34%
Pennsylvania	32%	46%	21%
Rhode Island	N/A	66%	34%
South Carolina	26%	39%	35%
South Dakota	N/A	86%	14%
Tennessee	21%	50%	29%
Texas	33%	31%	36%
Utah	43%	27%	29%
Vermont	N/A	86%	14%
Virginia	35%	32%	34%
Washington	41%	17%	42%
West Virginia	N/A	84%	16%
Wisconsin	22%	46%	32%
Wyoming	N/A	53%	47%
All states	31%	32%	37%

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

TABLE A4
Share of black undergraduate students at public institutions

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Alabama	7%	56%	37%
Alaska	N/A	98%	2%
Arizona	23%	19%	58%
Arkansas	5%	50%	45%
California	6%	22%	73%
Colorado	13%	44%	43%
Connecticut	9%	37%	54%
Delaware	18%	48%	34%
Florida	15%	22%	63%
Georgia	13%	44%	43%
Hawaii	34%	12%	53%
Idaho	N/A	72%	28%
Illinois	6%	31%	63%
Indiana	12%	45%	43%
lowa	24%	5%	71%

	Share at very high	Share at other	Share at associate's
State	research colleges	four-year colleges	degree colleges
Kansas	11%	29%	61%
Kentucky	25%	38%	37%
Louisiana	6%	49%	45%
Maine	N/A	53%	47%
Maryland	6%	50%	43%
Massachusetts	5%	38%	57%
Michigan	17%	31%	52%
Minnesota	10%	24%	66%
Mississippi	7%	39%	54%
Missouri	12%	47%	41%
Montana	24%	56%	20%
Nebraska	16%	34%	50%
Nevada	N/A	50%	50%
New Hampshire	N/A	60%	40%
New Jersey	8%	37%	55%
New Mexico	21%	33%	47%
New York	6%	42%	52%
North Carolina	4%	47%	49%
North Dakota	23%	41%	36%
Ohio	10%	40%	50%
Oklahoma	8%	50%	42%
Oregon	19%	28%	53%
Pennsylvania	8%	52%	40%
Rhode Island	N/A	59%	41%
South Carolina	7%	37%	56%
South Dakota	N/A	86%	14%
Tennessee	5%	60%	35%
Texas	6%	40%	54%
Utah	16%	44%	40%
Vermont	N/A	77%	23%
Virginia	12%	40%	48%
Washington	16%	17%	67%
West Virginia	N/A	73%	27%
Wisconsin	7%	39%	54%
Wyoming	N/A	31%	69%
All states	9%	40%	51%

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

TABLE A5
Share of Latino undergraduate students at public institutions

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Alabama	10%	55%	36%
Alaska	N/A	98%	2%
Arizona	26%	17%	58%
Arkansas	24%	40%	36%
California	8%	27%	66%
Colorado	17%	46%	37%
Connecticut	12%	32%	56%
Delaware	57%	9%	34%
Florida	20%	23%	57%
Georgia	24%	43%	33%
Hawaii	30%	15%	54%
Idaho	N/A	64%	36%
Illinois	12%	16%	71%
Indiana	23%	48%	29%
lowa	40%	5%	55%
Kansas	12%	34%	54%
Kentucky	33%	30%	37%
Louisiana	21%	46%	33%
Maine	N/A	64%	36%
Maryland	17%	35%	48%
Massachusetts	6%	35%	60%
Michigan	24%	38%	38%
Minnesota	14%	25%	61%
Mississippi	15%	44%	41%
Missouri	15%	42%	43%
Montana	33%	56%	11%
Nebraska	17%	37%	46%
Nevada	N/A	54%	46%
New Hampshire	N/A	72%	28%
New Jersey	9%	38%	54%
New Mexico	22%	26%	52%
New York	5%	43%	52%
North Carolina	12%	35%	53%
North Dakota	21%	60%	19%
Ohio	19%	43%	39%
Oklahoma	17%	40%	43%

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Oregon	24%	23%	53%
Pennsylvania	16%	46%	39%
Rhode Island	N/A	55%	45%
South Carolina	17%	38%	45%
South Dakota	N/A	83%	17%
Tennessee	12%	49%	39%
Texas	9%	39%	52%
Utah	18%	48%	34%
Vermont	N/A	86%	14%
Virginia	19%	32%	49%
Washington	20%	20%	61%
West Virginia	N/A	85%	15%
Wisconsin	14%	45%	41%
Wyoming	N/A	36%	64%
All states	12%	32%	56%

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

TABLE A6 Share of Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander undergraduate students at public institutions

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Alabama	5%	60%	35%
Alaska	N/A	92%	8%
Arizona	25%	20%	55%
Arkansas	16%	48%	35%
California	10%	24%	65%
Colorado	10%	53%	37%
Connecticut	11%	35%	54%
Delaware	45%	13%	43%
Florida	33%	11%	56%
Georgia	16%	49%	34%
Hawaii	20%	21%	58%
Idaho	N/A	40%	60%
Illinois	16%	18%	66%
Indiana	30%	61%	9%
lowa	35%	5%	60%

.....

.

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Kansas	10%	36%	54%
Kentucky	22%	35%	43%
Louisiana	18%	43%	39%
Maine	N/A	52%	48%
Maryland	5%	55%	40%
Massachusetts	10%	29%	62%
Michigan	21%	33%	47%
Minnesota	13%	26%	61%
Mississippi	11%	53%	36%
Missouri	7%	42%	51%
Montana	21%	62%	17%
Nebraska	16%	31%	53%
Nevada	N/A	53%	47%
New Hampshire	N/A	31%	69%
New Jersey	13%	34%	53%
New Mexico	15%	31%	54%
New York	4%	54%	42%
North Carolina	10%	27%	63%
North Dakota	16%	58%	26%
Ohio	20%	38%	42%
Oklahoma	11%	47%	42%
Oregon	18%	39%	42%
Pennsylvania	14%	48%	38%
Rhode Island	N/A	67%	33%
South Carolina	16%	33%	51%
South Dakota	N/A	90%	10%
Tennessee	0%	53%	47%
Texas	12%	34%	54%
Utah	12%	43%	44%
Vermont	N/A	88%	13%
Virginia	12%	36%	53%
Washington	17%	23%	60%
West Virginia	N/A	72%	28%
Wisconsin	20%	41%	39%
Wyoming	N/A	51%	49%
All states	14%	33%	54%

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

TABLE A7
Share of white undergraduate students at public institutions

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Alabama	8%	60%	32%
Alaska	N/A	97%	3%
Arizona	33%	23%	43%
Arkansas	22%	43%	35%
California	13%	27%	60%
Colorado	31%	40%	29%
Connecticut	24%	42%	34%
Delaware	73%	2%	25%
Florida	32%	16%	52%
Georgia	22%	46%	32%
Hawaii	43%	16%	41%
ldaho	N/A	71%	29%
Illinois	12%	30%	58%
Indiana	28%	45%	27%
lowa	41%	9%	50%
Kansas	16%	44%	40%
Kentucky	23%	39%	38%
Louisiana	22%	54%	24%
Maine	N/A	65%	35%
Maryland	16%	42%	41%
Massachusetts	16%	48%	36%
Michigan	22%	44%	34%
Minnesota	17%	39%	43%
Mississippi	17%	35%	48%
Missouri	16%	45%	39%
Montana	36%	50%	14%
Nebraska	30%	34%	36%
Nevada	N/A	59%	41%
New Hampshire	N/A	73%	27%
New Jersey	13%	44%	43%
New Mexico	25%	26%	49%
New York	9%	40%	51%
North Carolina	16%	39%	45%
North Dakota	35%	44%	21%
Ohio	19%	43%	38%
Oklahoma	15%	46%	39%

State	Share at very high research colleges	Share at other four-year colleges	Share at associate's degree colleges
Oregon	30%	24%	46%
Pennsylvania	20%	53%	27%
Rhode Island	N/A	69%	31%
South Carolina	19%	44%	37%
South Dakota	N/A	83%	17%
Tennessee	14%	46%	40%
Texas	17%	38%	45%
Utah	16%	57%	27%
Vermont	N/A	79%	21%
Virginia	25%	36%	39%
Washington	21%	23%	56%
West Virginia	N/A	72%	28%
Wisconsin	14%	54%	32%
Wyoming	N/A	40%	60%
All states	19%	40%	41%

Source: CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

Endnotes

1 CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http:// nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).

2 Ibid.

- 3 Ibid.
- 4 National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 326.10. Graduation rate from first institution attended for first-time, full-time bachelor's degree-seeking students at 4-year postsecondary institutions, by race/ ethnicity, time to completion, sex, control of institution, and acceptance rate: Selected cohort entry years, 1996 through 2008 (U.S. Department of Education, 2015), available at https:// nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d15/tables/dt15_326.10.asp; CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http:// nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed August 2016).
- 5 National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 326.10. Graduation rate from first institution attended for first-time, full-time bachelor's degree-seeking students at 4-year postsecondary institutions, by race/ethnicity, time to completion, sex, control of institution, and acceptance rate: Selected cohort entry years, 1996 through 2008; National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 326.20. Graduation rate from first institution attended within 150 percent of normal time for first-time, full-time degree/certificate-seeking students at 2-year postsecondary institutions, by race/ethnicity, sex, and control of institution: Selected cohort entry years, 2000 through 2011 (U.S. Department of Education, 2015), available at https://nces.ed.gov/ programs/digest/d15/tables/dt15_326.20.asp.
- 6 National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 104.20. Percentage of persons 25 to 29 years old with selected levels of educational attainment, by race/ ethnicity and sex: Selected years, 1920 through 2015 (U.S. Department of Education, 2015), available at https://nces. ed.gov/programs/digest/d15/tables/dt15_104.20.asp.
- 7 This analysis does not include the District of Columbia because it has only one public institution that all students attend.
- 8 National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 303.10. Total fall enrollment in degreegranting postsecondary institutions, by attendance status, sex of student, and control of institution: Selected years, 1947 through 2025 (U.S. Department of Education, 2015), available at https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d15/tables/ dt15_303.10.asp.
- 9 CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System," available at http:// nces.ed.gov/ipeds/ (last accessed September 2016).
- 10 Ibid.
- 11 Ibid.
- 12 Ibid.
- 13 Ibid.
- 14 CAP analysis of U.S. Department of Education, "Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System."

- 15 Anthony Carnevale, Nicole Smith, and Jeff Strohl, "Recovery: Projections of Jobs and Education Requirements Through 2020" (Washington: Georgetown Public Policy Institute, 2013), available at https://cew.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Recovery2020.FR_.Web_.pdf.
- 16 National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 502.30. Median annual earnings of full-time year-round workers 25 to 34 years old and full-time year-round workers as a percentage of the labor force, by sex, race/ ethnicity, and educational attainment: Selected years, 1995 through 2012 (U.S. Department of Education, 2013), available at http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d15/tables/ dt15_502.30.asp.
- 17 White House Initiative on Historically Black Colleges and Universities, "What is an HBCU?," available at http://sites. ed.gov/whhbcu/one-hundred-and-five-historically-blackcolleges-and-universities/ (last accessed July 2016).
- 18 National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 326.10. Graduation rate from first institution attended for first-time, full-time bachelor's degree-seeking students at 4-year postsecondary institutions, by race/ethnicity, time to completion, sex, control of institution, and acceptance rate: Selected cohort entry years, 1996 through 2008, National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 302.65. Percentage of 18- to 24-year-olds enrolled in degree-granting postsecondary institutions, by race/ethnicity and state: 2014 (U.S. Department of Education, 2015), available at http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d15/tables/ d115_302.65.ap.
- 19 Teresa Watanabe, "UC schools harm local students by admitting so many from out of state, audit finds," Los Angeles Times, March 29, 2016, available at http://www.latimes.com/ local/lanow/la-me-In-uc-audit-admissions-20160328-story. html; California State Auditor, "The University of California: Its Admissions and Financial Decisions have Disadvantaged California Resident Students, Report 2015-107" (2016), available at https://www.auditor.ca.gov/pdfs/reports/2015-107. pdf.
- 20 Ibid.
- 21 National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics: Table 502.30. Median annual earnings of full-time year-round workers 25 to 34 years old and full-time year-round workers as a percentage of the labor force, by sex, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment: Selected years, 1995 through 2012; National Center for Education Statistics, The Condition of Education: Employment and Unemployment Rates by Educational Attainment (U.S. Department of Education, 2016), available at http://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_cbc. asp; College Board, "Two-Year Student Loan Default Rates by Degree Completion Status over Time," available at https:// trends.collegeboard.org/student-aid/figures-tables/twoyear-student-loan-default-rates-degree-completion-status over-time (last accessed September 2016).
- 22 The full multiplier for public four-year institutions is 403,543; National Center for Education Statistics, 2016-17 Survey Materials: Glossary (U.S. Department of Education, 2016), available at https://surveys.nces.ed.gov/ipeds/Downloads/ Forms/IPEDSGlossary.pdf.